UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,394	01/30/2001	Peter Stangel	79731.010100	1200
22191 7590 02/26/2008 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1750 TYSONS BOULEVARD, 12TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			COBANOGLU, DILEK B	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kinneyb@gtlaw.com tcopatdkt@gtlaw.com goepelj@gtlaw.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/772,394 STANGEL, PETER Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit DILEK B. COBANOGLU 3626 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) DILEK B. COBANOGLU. (3) John Wittensellner (Reg. No. 61,662). (2) Robert Morgan (Primary Examiner, A.U. 3626). (4) James Goepel (Reg. No. 50,851). Date of Interview: 13 February 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1.9.15.18.19 and 29. Identification of prior art discussed: Campbell (6,047,259). Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiners and Applicant's Representatives discussed claim language that would distinguish over the prior art of record, especially claim 1, client device functions. After submitting a formal response, the Examiner will conduct an updated search of the prior art prior to any further action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dilek B Cobanoglu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required